C959 1 845cv-10716-RV	VZ Document 1 Filed 04/09/2004 Page 1 of 8
RECEIPT #	
SUMMONS ISSUED	
LOCAL RULE 4.1UN	ITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MCF ISSUED DI	STRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS
BY DPTY, CLK. 49-04 DATE 4-9-04	
Daniel L. Simon,	
Complainant,) CIVIL ACTION NO.
vs.	ý o a
Choice Hotels International, Inc. New England Resort Management LLC d/b/a Clarion Nantasket	
Beach Hotel,) A :
Ferdinand J. Kiley,	MAGISTRATE JUDGE Alexandy
Respondents.)

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Jurisdiction

- 1. Jurisdiction of Count I is conferred by 28 U.S.C. 1331, as this Count arises under the laws of the United States.
- 2. Jurisdiction of Counts II III is conferred by pendent and/or supplemental jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1367.

Parties

- 3. The Plaintiff, Daniel L. Simon ("Simon"), is a citizen of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, Plymouth County.
- 4. The Defendant, Choice Hotels International, Inc. ("Choice Hotels"), is a for-profit Delaware corporation, with a principal place of business in Silver Spring, Maryland.
- 5. The Defendant, New England Resort Management, LLC d/b/a Clarion Nantasket Beach Hotel ("NERM") is a domestic limited liability company with a principal place of

- business in Hull, Massachusetts.
- 6. The Defendant, Ferdinand J. Kiley ("Kiley") is a citizen of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

Factual Allegations

- 7. Simon is Caucasian and his country of national origin is the United States.
- 8. In or around April/May, 2002, Simon commenced employment with Defendants as a maintenance engineer at the Clarion Nantasket Beach Hotel in Hull, Massachusetts ("the Hotel").
- 9. The hotel is owned and operated by Defendant, NERM.
- 10. Defendant, Kiley, is the owner/operator of NERM.
- Defendant, Choice Hotels, owns the Clarion Hotel brand, has granted a franchise for the
 Hotel to NERM and Kiley.
- 12. At all times during Simon's employment at the Hotel, Choice Hotels held itself out to the public as his employer through the display of the Clarion Logo; by its control and supervision over NERM and Kiley in the operation of the Hotel; by requiring NERM and Kiley to adhere to Choice Hotels policies and procedures in the operation of the Hotel; and by otherwise exercising significant control over the operations of the Hotel.
- 13. At all times during Simon's employment at the Hotel, NERM and Kiley were the actual and/or apparent agents of Choice Hotels, acting within the scope of their actual and/or apparent agency.
- 14. Throughout his employment at the Hotel, Simon consistently met or exceeded the

- reasonable performance expectations for his position.
- 15. Simon received numerous commendations from Hotel guests and residents.
- 16. During Simon's employment at the Hotel, Kiley and NERM terminated numerous Caucasian employees and replaced them with Columbian nationals and/or individuals of Columbian national origin.
- 17. Ruby Kiley, a senior manager and Kiley's wife, who is of Columbian national origin, made numerous disparaging remarks concerning Caucasian American employees, stating, for example, "you Americans work slow, we Columbians work hard," and "Americans work like dogs."
- On or about, October 15, 2003, Kiley terminated Simon's employment, and replaced him 18. with a individual of Columbian national origin, R. Martinez. When Simon asked Kiley why he was being terminated, Kiley responded that it was because he was not Columbian, and that he (Kiley) wanted only Columbians working in the maintenance department.
- 19. Kiley later claimed that Simon was terminated because work was slow.
- 20. This stated reason for Simon's termination, that work was slow, was false and a pretext for unlawful discrimination. In fact, work was not slow as evidenced by the fact, among others, that Simon was immediately replaced upon his termination.
- 21. The above acts and omissions of all Defendants have directly and proximately caused Simon to suffer lost income and diminished earning capacity, personal injury including emotional distress, and have otherwise damaged him.

COUNT I Title VII Of the 1964 Federal Civil Rights Act, as Amended 42 U.S.C. 2000e, et seq. Simon v. Choice Hotels and NERM

- 22. The Plaintiff adopts by reference all above allegations, and further alleges:
- 23. All conditions precedent regarding this Count have been complied with.
- The above described acts of discrimination against Simon on the basis of race, color and 24. national origin had the purpose or effect of injuring Simon in the terms and conditions of his employment.
- 25. The above described acts of discrimination had the purpose or effect of unreasonably interfering with Simon's work performance or creating an intimidating, hostile, or offensive working environment.
- 26. All Defendants' stated conduct was willful, malicious, in bad faith, outrageous, and extraordinary, thereby giving rise to an award of punitive damages.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Daniel L. Simon, demands judgment against Choice Hotels and NERM in an amount reasonably calculated to adequately compensate him for his injuries. together with punitive damages, interest, reasonable attorney's fees, and the costs of this action.

COUNT II Mass.G.L. c. 151B Simon v. All Defendants

- 27. The Plaintiff adopts by reference all above allegations, and further alleges:
- 28. All conditions precedent regarding this Count have been complied with.

- The above described acts of discrimination against Simon on the basis of race, color and 29. national origin had the purpose or effect of injuring Simon in the terms and conditions of his employment.
- 30. The above described acts of discrimination had the purpose or effect of unreasonably interfering with Simon's work performance or creating an intimidating, hostile, or offensive working environment.
- Kiley additionally aided, abetted, incited, compelled and/or coerced the doing of any of 31. the acts forbidden under M.G.L. c. 151B and/or attempted to do so.
- All Defendants' above stated conduct was willful, malicious, in bad faith, outrageous, and 32. extraordinary, thereby giving rise to an award of punitive damages.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Daniel L. Simon, demands judgment against all Defendants in an amount reasonably calculated to adequately compensate him for his injuries, together with punitive damages, interest, reasonable attorney's fees, and the costs of this action.

COUNT III Intentional Interference with a Contract Simon v. Kilev

- 33. Plaintiff adopts by reference all allegations herein, and further alleges:
- 34. Through his above stated actions, Kiley knowingly and intentionally induced the remaining Defendants to break or alter their at-will employment contract with Simon, and a breaking or altering of said contract in fact occurred, causing Simon to lose contractual

rights, including his employment.

The above said acts of Kiley were wrongful or improper in their ways and/or means 35. because said acts were made without any rational basis, in bad faith, with malice, with a race, color and national origin based discriminatory animus, and were otherwise improper.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Daniel L. Simon, demands judgment against Kiley in an amount reasonably calculated to adequately compensate him for his injuries, together with interest and the costs of this action.

PLAINTIFF DEMANDS TRIAL BY JURY ON ALL COUNTS

Respectfully submitted,

Daniel L. Simon

By his attorney,

Paul F. Wood, BBO No. 565195

Law Office of Paul F. Wood, P.C.

45 Bowdoin Street

Boston, MA 02114

(617) 532-2666

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

1	 TITLE OF CASE (NAME OF FIRST P 	ARTY ON EACH S	IDE ONLY Danie	1 Z.	Simo		11.		
	Choice Hotels In	temation.	ol, Inc.	· (**	ers, emer,		111-45		
2.	CATEGORY IN WHICH THE CASE E CIVIL COVER SHEET. (SEE LOCAL	CATEGORY IN WHICH THE CASE BELONGS BASED UPON THE NUMBERED NATURE OF SUIT CODE LISTED ON THE CIVIL COVER SHEET. (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(A)(1)).							
	1. 160, 410, 470, R.23,	REGARDLESS OF	NATURE OF SUIT.						
	II. 195, 368, 400, 440, 740, 790, 791, 820*,	441-444) 540, 550, 55 830*, 840*, 850, 89	55, 625, 710, 720, 730, 0, 892-894, 895, 950.	*Also for p	o complete / patent, trad	AO 120 emark o	or AO 121 or copyright	CRSCS	
	III. 110, 120, 130, 140, 315, 320, 330, 340, 380, 385, 450, 891.	151, 190, 210, 230, 2 345, 350, 355, 360, 3	40, 245, 290, 310, 62, 365, 370, 371,						
	IV. 220, 422, 423, 430, 4690, 810, 861-865, 8	460, 510, 530, 610, 6 70, 871, 875, 900,	20, 630, 640, 650, 660,		3				
	V. 150, 152, 153.		04	1 (7	7 (o Da	X75	
3.	. TITLE AND NUMBER, IF ANY, OF RE	ELATED CASES. (S	SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(E	· 1 (1 (N	VV L	
4.	HAS A PRIOR ACTION BETWEEN TH	IE SAME PARTIES	AND BASED ON THE S	AME CT A	IL EVER P				
	COURT?	****	Committee of the Commit	YES	IM EVER B		LED IN TH	IS	
5.	DOES THE COMPLAINT IN THIS CAS	SE QUESTION THE	CONSTITUTIONALITY	OF AN AC	T	CDEcc			
	FUBLIC INTEREST? (SEE 28 USC 24 IF SO, IS THE U.S.A. OR AN OFFICER	103)		Vrc		NO	AFFECTIN	G THE	
				YES		NO			
6.	CHES KENCERED TO BE HEA	RD AND DETERM	INED BY A DISTRICT C	OURT OF	THREE JU	DGES I	PURSUANT	TO	
	111 LE 28 USC 1284?			YES		NO	赵		
7.	DO ALL PARTIES IN THIS ACTION RI	eside in the cen	TRAL SECTION OF TH	E DISTRIC	T OF MAS	SACHU	SETTS		
	(WORCESTER COUNTY) - (SEE LOCA OR IN THE WESTERN SECTION (BER	L RULE 40 t(CV)					M		
	(SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D)).	RSHIRE FRANKL	in, hampden or ham)		-		`		
8.	DO ALL OF THE PARTIES PROFILE			YES	Ц		×		
	DO <u>ALL</u> OF THE PARTIES RESIDING I THE DISTRICT?	IN MASSACHUSET	TS RESIDE IN THE CEN	TRAL AN	D/OR WES	TERN S)F	
	(*) IF YES, IN WHICH SECTION	DOES THE PLAIN	TIFF RESIDE?	YES		NO	χį		
9,	IN WHICH SECTION DO THE ONLY PA			FSIDE+	Bash	<u></u>	.1′.		
10.	IF ANY OF THE PARTIES ARE THE UNAGENCY OF THE U.S.A. OR THE COM	HTED STATES OF	10101		<u> </u>	ANY G	OVERNME	NTAL	
	CENTRAL SECTION; YES NO	F4	OR WESTERN SECTION;		THE	NO			
(PLI	EASE TYPE OR PRINT) Paul F. L	osed							
ATT	_	Paul F. Wood P.C).						
ADD		rdoin Street					•		
TEL	EPHONE NO. Boston, Mass	sachusetts 02114			·	····			
(Cafe	legfrm.rev - 3/97) 6/7 - 53	2-2666					-		
	· ·	U			. *				

__ AMOUNT __

_ APPLYING IFP __

J\$ 44 (Rev. 3/99)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	e purpose of initiating the	civil docket shee	t. (SEE IN	DEFENDANTS		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
DANIEL L. SIMON				Choice Hotels, International Inc. New England Resort Manny emant LUC; Albla Clarion Nantasket Deach Hotel Fendinand J. Kiley				
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF Plynoth (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.				
45 Bowdoin	of Paul t. Word.	r.Z.		ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN)	0716	RWZ		
II. BASIS OF JURISE	DICTION (PLACE AN	X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)	III. CIT	Diversity Cases Only)		PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX FOR PLAINTIFF AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT)		
U.S. Government Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)			C	PTF DEF PTF DEF Citizen of This State □ 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Principal Place □ 4 □ 4 of Business In This State				
□ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)			Citizen of Another State 🛛 2 🔲 2 Incorporated and Principal Place 🗖 5 🗍 9 of Business In Another State Citizen or Subject of a 🖂 3 🖂 Foreign Nation				
IV. NATURE OF SUI	IT (PLACE AN "X" IN ON	E DOV ONUA	<u></u> .	Foreign Country		on66		
CONTRACT		ORTS		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES		
			actice actice actice actice actice actice actice and actice actic	ad	listrict 🗆 6 Multidistri	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banks and Banks and Banking 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information Act 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes 890 Other Statutory Actions		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	N (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STAT DO NOT CITE JURISDICT	UTE UNDER WHICH Y IONAL STATUTES UNL	ESS DIVERS	ing and write Brief Stateme BITY.) Fion in em	ployment	Judgment		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: VIII.RELATED CASE(S	CHECK IF THIS IS UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	3	ON	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES O	nly if demanded in complaint: ND: XYES □ NO		
IF ANY	JUI	OGE			DOCKET NUMBER			
4(a(b)		SIGNATURE OF AT	IOHNEY OF	RECORD				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	•							

_ JUDGE _

_ MAG. JUDGE